

Pop Quiz:
How many organizations
at Berkeley support
Innovation &
Entrepreneurship
(aka I&E)?

I&E Ecosystem

ACADEMIC PROGRAMS

Entrepreneurship @ Berkeley Haas CBE PDP Berkeley BIOENGINEERING Berkeley Changemaker SCET CITRIS BANATAO INSTITUTE FUNG INSTITUTE FOR ENGINEERING LEADERSHIP UC BERKELEY ENGINEERING MIM Master of Translational Medicine UC Berkeley - UC San Francisco SkyDeck ACE Intern Program Learn2 Launch SAIF

Garwood Center for Corporate Innovation FUNG FELLOWSHIP Berkeley Biology+Business MOLECULAR & CELL BIOLOGY | HAAS SCHOOL OF BUSINESS Berkeley M.E.T. Management, Entrepreneurship, & Technology Berkeley Institute for Data Science Berkeley Impact Venture Partners AMENA CENTER THE COLLIDER CUP

NEW PRODUCT R&D SUPPORT

Berkeley Research Infrastructure Commons CalTestBed BSAC Machine Shop SYNTHETIC BIOLOGY INSTITUTE riseLAB HS Chau Women in Enterprising Science TORY BURCH FELLOWSHIP HealthTech CoLab BERKELEY EMERYVILLE Bio CITRIS INVENTION LAB

moffitt makerspace Biomolecular Nanotechnology Center

PRODUCT/MARKET FIT SUPPORT

C2M Cleantech to Market CORPS NSF Innovation Corps LEAN TRANSFER COURSE

STARTUP SUPPORT

Berkeley SKYDECK Health Engine Berkeley PostX cyclotronroad EBI² BERKELEY BIG IDEAS FREE VENTURES STEP Berkeley Life Sciences Entrepreneurship Center qb3 BAKAR FELLOWS Bakar Labs

LAUNCH The University of California's Startup Accelerator THE HOUSE berkeley startup cluster

FUNDING SUPPORT

OSAGE UNIVERSITY PARTNERS CORE SEED FUNDING KAPOR CAPITAL CONTRARY NUCLEAR INNOVATION BOOTCAMP VCIC LSEC VENTURE GRANTS Erupture Berkeley-Haas Entrepreneurship Startup Seed Funding

The House Fund I SCHOOL INNOVATORS COURTYARD VENTURES UNIVERSITY INCUBATOR Berkeley Angel Network ROUGH DRAFT.VC SBIR-STTR America's Seed Fund ORIGIN better VENTURES THE HOUSE FUND DRF Dorm Room Fund IRONFIRE VENTURES

Berkeley Student Technology Fund Haas Impact Fund

SHARED RETURN FUNDS

BERKELEY SKYDECK FUND Berkeley Catalyst Fund BERKELEY FRONTIER FUND CALIFORNIA FUND INNOVATION BBV

LEGAL SUPPORT

Berkeley IPIRA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & INDUSTRY RESEARCH ALLIANCES New Business Community Law Clinic OLLIE startup@ BerkeleyLaw UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SIAR

ENTREPRENEURSHIP & IP SUPPORT

Berkeley IPIRA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & INDUSTRY RESEARCH ALLIANCES Your Gateway to Berkeley Lab Technology IP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE Your Gateway to Berkeley Lab Technology BERC Women Entrepreneurs of Berkeley BEGIN Berkeley Gateway to Innovation

FastTracking Founders Competition

RECRUITING SUPPORT

UCB Startup Fair CAREER CENTER Handshake login

That's confusing

**How do we make sense
of all of this?**

Entrepreneurship at UC Berkeley

Report Commissioned by VCR / EVCP Paul Alivisatos

From the Faculty Entrepreneurship Committee:

Ana Claudia Arias
Tiff Dressen
Daniela Kaufer
Rikky Muller
Niren Murthy
Yi-Ren Ng
Ronald Rael
Michael Rape
David Schaffer (Chair)
Scott Shenker
Toby Stuart
Paul Waddell
Peidong Yang

July 20, 2018



**In 2019, we hired
the right guy**

UC Berkeley



Richard Lyons is driving UC Berkeley's reputation as a hotbed for founders and startups

Email

Share

Share

Tweet

Unlock URL

Print

Order Reprints

Gift this Article



The secret sauce:
65 organizations,
100 members

Innovation & Entrepreneurship

Some 90 leaders spanning the campus meet monthly as the Innovation & Entrepreneurship (I&E) Council with the goal of knitting our I&E ecosystem together.

I&E Council	
Adam Sterling 	Berkeley Center for Law and Business Startup @ BerkeleyLaw
Alice M. Agogino 	Blum Center for Developing Economies Squishy Robotics
Allison Schmitt 	Life Sciences Law and Policy Center
Andrea McEvoy 	Intellectual Property & Industry Research Alliances (IPIRA)
Brian Bordley 	Berkeley SkyDeck Fund
Bruce Riordan 	Berkeley Climate Change Network
Camille Crittenden 	CITRIS & the Banatao Institute Blockchain Working Group Government Operations Agency
Carl Blumstein 	California Institute for Energy and Environment
Carol Mimura 	Intellectual Property & Industry Research Alliances (IPIRA)

**And then what
happened?**

2024 startup rankings

	<i>University</i>	<i>Founder count</i>	<i>Company count</i>
1	UC Berkeley	1,811	1,642
2	Stanford	1,547	1,397
3	Harvard	1,352	1,222
4	University of Pennsylvania	1,197	1,099
5	MIT	1,175	1,049



LSEC Venture Grant Program

Berkeley
Life Sciences
Entrepreneurship
Center

Photo by [Elevate](#) on [Unsplash](#)

Want to launch a bio startup at Berkeley?

Let us help you build it. Next cohort March 2024 - February 2025

Money to Get it Going:

- \$100k R&D grant to promote translation of technology to commercialization
- \$200k investment from the Berkeley SkyDeck Fund (\$100k+\$100k, traunched)

Built with the Best of Berkeley:

- Admission to Berkeley SkyDeck: advisors, workshops, investors, huge demo day
- Participation in I-Corps @ LSEC and Bio Startup Speed Teaming
- Presentation to BioEng 153/253: *Biotechnology Entrepreneurship*
- Participation in QB3's SBIR grant-writing workshop
- Optional participation in IP Law Practicum, and Lean Transfer class at Haas

Outstanding Resources:

- Showcase event and pitch to experts and investors
- Access to Bakar Labs events and CABL program
- Access to the UC Berkeley Drug Discovery Center

Eligibility:

- Academic UC Berkeley teams of at least two members (faculty, PhD students, postdocs, and other graduate students, including business and engineering)
- Life sciences field: therapeutics, diagnostics, medical device, research tools
- Innovation based on Berkeley IP

Info and apply by
January 24, 2025:
[lsec.berkeley.edu/
venture-grant](https://lsec.berkeley.edu/venture-grant)

Berkeley
SKYDECK

Berkeley IPIRA
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & INDUSTRY RESEARCH ALLIANCES

qb3

Bakar
Labs
Powered by QB3

NSF
CORPS
NSF Innovation Corps

AsparaGlue™

Founded by **Professor and Chair of Bioengineering Phillip Messersmith** and his postdoc Subhajit Pal. AsparaGlue has developed a **medical adhesive** that can be uniquely used for both external wound closure as well as internal tissue adhesion and sealing.

editpep

Founded by **Innovative Genomics Institute Professor Ross Wilson** and Berkeley Haas MBA candidate Malu Kannuswamy. Editpep has developed a class of peptides capable of **conveying CRISPR enzymes** into clinically-relevant and previously untransfectable cell types.



Founded by **Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences Professor Rikky Muller**, her postdoc Ryan Kaveh, and PhD candidates Saavan Patel and Arya Reais-Parsi. MZR has developed an **in-ear EEG** sensor for sleep monitoring and more.

LSEC Venture Grant Cohort 1

ASO Therapeutics

Founded by Nutritional Sciences & Toxicology **Professor Anders Näär** and industry veteran Stuart Hwang. ASO Therapeutics is a drug development company focused on targeting transcriptional drivers of cancers, targeting pathological mRNAs.

MuscleMatrix

Founded by Bioengineering **Professor Kevin Healy** and UVA **Professor George Christ**, with their graduate students. MuscleMatrix has developed a hyaluronic acid (HyA) hydrogel structural scaffold for volumetric muscle loss injury repair.

Venture Grant Cohort 2 (2024)

Life Sciences Venture Canvas

Stakeholder →

Patient

Provider

Payor

Partner

Permitter
(e.g. FDA)

Who is this and
how do they solve
the problem now?
(Customer
Segment)

?

?

?

?

?

What would
motivate them to
say **YES** to change?
(Value Proposition)

?

?

?

?

?

Life Sciences Venture Canvas

Stakeholder →

Patient

Provider

Payor

Partner

Permitter
(e.g. FDA)

Often patients need to come with complaint for PCP to address

(Customer Segment)

Dr's aren't quick to ID flaws in current standard of care

What would motivate them to say **YES** to change?
(Value Proposition)

Correct pain points, but motivation to solve problem varies significantly

Will all patients want to learn about a disorder pre-symptoms?

Now thinking we may rely more on partnerships to reach patients

Little creativity across use cases

Specialist vs PCP wants

<p>Usually start with primary care and then referred to a specialists, run numerous tests (potentially invasive), and intake history/etc. for a physician to determine a diagnosis.</p>	<p>Primary Care conducts some tests and often refers onto specialists.</p> <p>Specialists conduct somewhat qualitative tests along with clinical history etc</p>	<p>Patients pay per visit or per test either out of pocket or through insurance.</p> <p>More testing and later diagnosis lead to higher costs</p>	<p>Pharma companies testing efficacy of treatment (use our device in clinical trials)</p> <p>Contract Manufacturers</p> <p>Community screenings?</p> <p>Glasses Stores?</p>	<p>FDA: There are other diagnosis options.</p> <p>CE Mark if we want to expand to Europe</p> <p>UL/other testing sites</p>
<p>Reduce time to diagnosis, reduce number of trips to doctor, more accurate diagnoses, personalized care and treatment options, easier and more seamless patient experience.</p>	<p>A reduced time/workload burden.</p> <p>“Better” referrals from primary care</p> <p>An increase in objectivity to determine more clearly.</p> <p>Ability to longitudinally track symptoms and medications.</p> <p>Minimal to no disruption to workflows</p>	<p>Reduce costs per patient in diagnosis and potentially treatment</p> <p>Earlier detection to stop diseases before they require significant treatment or lifestyle changes</p> <p>Replace existing expensive tests</p>	<p>Working tech: high quality measurements that correlate with disease</p> <p>Large target patient populations that align goals across partners</p> <p>Accurately detect earlier and can be done quickly.</p> <p>Demand for product would bring people into their store for screening</p>	<p>Working and safe tech</p> <p>Reach requirements of a 510K pathway or PMA.</p> <p>Reach requirements for CE mark</p> <p>Testing sites: conduct tests measuring ESD/radiation/etc. needed for submissions</p> <p>Both authoritative bodies somewhat follow IEC ISO guidelines</p>

Life Sciences Venture Canvas (new)

Stakeholder →

Patient

Provider

Payor

Partner

Permitter
(e.g. FDA)

Who is this and how do they solve the problem now?
(Customer Segment)

<p>Neurodegenerative diseases</p> <p>Movement diseases</p> <p>Maybe cognitive decline- but not sure if at MCI or dementia stage</p> <p>Psychiatric/mood diseases</p> <p>Mainly outpatients</p> <p>At risk patients for screening?</p> <p>Disease needs vary based on rural vs urban</p>	<p>Primary Care - initial screening, diagnosis depth depends on setting (rural vs urban)</p> <p>Geriatrics - specifically for AD diagnosis</p> <p>Neurologists</p> <p>Psychiatry</p> <p>Traditional Optometry</p> <p>Pediatric/developmental psych</p> <p>Maybe retirement/independent living/assisted living homes but only if partnered correctly</p> <p>Memory care/nursing homes (strikethrough)</p>	<p>Patients pay per visit or per test either out of pocket or through insurance.</p> <p>More testing and later diagnosis lead to higher costs</p> <p>Government programs or community partners?</p>	<p>Clinical researchers</p> <p>Telehealth companies</p> <p>Clinical Trials (pharma companies)</p> <p>Retail Pharmacies/walk in clinics</p> <p>Companies making diagnostic tests</p> <p>Other remote patient monitoring devices</p> <p>Contract Manufacturers</p> <p>Community screenings: schools, etc.</p> <p>Glasses Stores: warby parker, etc.</p>	<p>FDA: There are other diagnosis options.</p> <p>CE Mark if we want to expand to Europe</p> <p>UL/other testing sites</p>
--	---	---	---	--

What would motivate them to say **YES** to change?
(Value Proposition)

<p>Reduce time to diagnosis (6 months earlier?)</p> <p>Reduce number of trips to doctor (by at least 1-2/year?) depending on the disease</p> <p>More accurate diagnoses (especially for things with treatment or lifestyle changes(?)), personalized care and treatment options, easier and more seamless patient experience.</p>	<p><u>If colleagues are using the tech successfully</u></p> <p>PCP - More confidence for specialty diagnoses and referrals (especially rural). PCP - plenty of scientific validation</p> <p>Specialists - better referrals from PCP (specificity >0.7) and earlier (6 months)</p> <p>A reduced time/workload burden (3 more minutes per patient, see 1 more patient per day)</p> <p>Ability to longitudinally track symptoms and medications</p> <p>Can be done by support staff, not Drs.</p>	<p>Reduce costs per patient in diagnosis and care by \$200/year.</p> <p>Earlier detection to stop diseases before they require significant treatment or lifestyle changes (reduction in multiple ADLs/activities of daily living)</p> <p>Replace existing expensive tests (reduce imaging costs for alzheimer's diagnosis by 20%)</p>	<p>Large target patient populations that align goals across partners</p> <p>Clinical trials: objective metric that correlates with physiology</p> <p>Retail: drive 30 more customers per month to the store</p> <p>Researchers: <0.05° precision</p> <p>Other diagnostics companies: boost signal to noise by 10%</p> <p>Telehealth: fill key remote-diagnostic shortcomings that can't be achieved by other tech (e.g., tablet or computer).</p>	<p>Safe and Effective- FDA line</p> <p>Reach requirements of a 510K pathway or PMA.</p> <p>Reach requirements for CE mark</p> <p>Testing sites: conduct tests measuring ESD/radiation/etc. needed for submissions</p> <p>Both authoritative bodies somewhat follow IEC ISO guidelines</p>
---	---	---	---	---



Bakar Labs
40 companies to date
\$600M raised
92,000 sq ft